

# 《信息论基础》 《Fundamentals on Information Theory》

### Li Chen (陈立)

Professor, School of Electronics and Information Technology (SEIT) Sun Yat-sen University Office: 631C, SEIT building Email: <u>chenli55@mail.sysu.edu.cn</u> Website: www.chencode.cn



# 《Fundamentals on Information Theory》

Textbooks:

1. 《Elements of Information Theory》, by T. Cover and J. Thomas, Wiley (and introduced by Tsinghua University Press), 2003.

2. 《Error control Coding》, by S. Lin and D. Costello, Prentice Hall, 2004.

3.《信息论与编码理论》,王育民、李晖著,高等教育出版社,2013.

# Outlines



Chapter 1: 1	Entropy and Mutual Information	(3 W)
Chapter 2:	Channel Capacity	(3 W)
Chapter 3: S	Source Coding	(2 W)
Chapter 4:	Channel Coding	(2 W)
Chapter 5:	Convolutional Codes	(4 W)
Chapter 6: ]	Reed-Solomon Codes	(4 W)



# **Evolution of Communications**





- 1.1 An Introduction of Information
- 1.2 Entropy
- 1.3 Mutual Information
- 1.4 Further Results on Information Theory



- What is information?
- How do we measure information?

Let us look at the following sentences:

1) I will be one year older next year.

No information

2) I was born in 1993.

Some information

3) I was born in 1990s.

More information

Boring!

Being frank!

Interesting, so which year?

The number of *possibilities* should be linked to the information!



### Let us do the following game:

Throw a die once



Throw three dies



You have 6 possible outcomes.  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ 

You have 6<sup>3</sup> possible outcomes. {(1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2), (1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 4) ..... (2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 2), (2, 1, 3), (2, 1, 4) ..... (6, 6, 3), (6, 6, 4), (6, 6, 5), (6, 6, 6)}

Information should be 'additive'.



# Let us look at the following problem.

If there are 30 students in our class, and we would like to use binary bits to distinguish each of them, how many bits do we need?

Solution: 30 possibilities.

requires

 $\log_2 30 = 4.907$  bits.

we need at least 5 bits to represent each of us.

**Q**: There are 7 billion people on our planet, how many bits do we need?

We can use *'logarithm'* to scale down the a huge amount of possibilities.

*Number (binary bit) permutations* are used to represent all possibilities.



#### Finally, let us look into the following game.



Pick one ball from the hat randomly, The probability of picking up a white ball,  $\frac{1}{4}$  (25%). Representing the probability needs  $\log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} = 2$  bits. The probability of picking up a black ball,  $\frac{3}{4}$  (75%). Representing the probability needs  $\log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{3}{4}} = 0.415$  bits.



• How do we measure the overall event? (On average, how many bits do we need to represent an outcome?)

$$\frac{1}{4} \cdot \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{3}{4} \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{3}{4}} = 0.811 \text{ bits.}$$

• The measure of information should be

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \log_2 P_i^{-1} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i \log_2 P_i$$

- $P_i$ : probability of the *i*th possible event.
- *N*: Total number of possible events.

Measure of information should consider the *probabilities of various possible events*.



- Information: knowledge not precisely known by the recipient, as it is a measure of unexpectedness.
- Amount of information  $\propto$  (probability of occurance)<sup>-1</sup>
  - Messages:  $M_1 \ M_2 \ M_3 \dots M_q$ Prob of occur:  $P_1 \ P_2 \ P_3 \ \dots P_q$   $(P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + \dots + P_q = 1)$ Measure the amount of information carried by each message by  $I(M_i) = log_x P_i^{-1}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, q$   $x = 2, \ I(M_i)$  in bits  $x = e, \ I(M_i)$  in nats  $x = 10, \ I(M_i)$  in Hartley.

• Observations: ... ...



Observations:

- 1)  $I(M_i) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $if P_i \rightarrow 1$ ;
- 2)  $I(M_i) \ge 0$ , when  $0 \le P_i \le 1$ ;
- 3)  $I(M_i) > I(M_j), \quad if \quad P_j > P_i$
- 4) Given  $M_i$  and  $M_j$  are statistically independent,  $I(M_i \& M_j) = I(M_i) + I(M_j).$



**Example 1.1:** A source outputs five possible messages. The probabilities of these messages are:

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2}$$
  $P_2 = \frac{1}{4}$   $P_3 = \frac{1}{8}$   $P_4 = \frac{1}{16}$   $P_5 = \frac{1}{16}$ .

Determine the information contained in each of these messages. **Solution:** 

$$I(M_1) = \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} = 1 \text{ bit}$$
$$I(M_2) = \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} = 2 \text{ bit}$$
$$I(M_3) = \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} = 3 \text{ bit}$$
$$I(M_4) = \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} = 4 \text{ bit}$$
$$I(M_5) = \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} = 4 \text{ bit}$$

Total amount of information = 14 bits. Is it right?



Given a source vector of length N, and it has U possible symbols  $S_1, S_2, \dots S_U$ , each of which has probability of  $P_1, P_2, \dots P_U$  of occurrence.

To represent the source vector, we need

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^{U} N P_i \log_2 P_i^{-1}$$
 bits.

So on average, how many information bits do we need for a source symbol?

$$H = \frac{I}{N} = \sum_{i=1}^{U} P_i \log_2 P_i^{-1}$$
 bits/symbol

*H* is called the <u>source entropy</u> – average number of information per source symbol.



**Example 1.2:** A source vector contains symbols of four possible outcomes *A*, *B*, *C*, *D*. They occur with probabilities of  $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\frac{1}{3}$  and  $\frac{1}{12}$ , respectively. Determine the entropy of the source vector.

$$H = \frac{1}{4} \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} + \frac{2}{3} \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{1}{12} \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{12}} - \frac{1}{12} \log_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{12}}$$

= 1.856 bits/symbol



Entropy of a binary source: The source vector has only two possible symbols, i.e., 0 and 1. Let P(0) denote the probability of a source symbol being 0, and P(1) denote the probability of a source symbol being 1, we have

$$H = P(0) \cdot \log_2 P(0)^{-1} + P(1) \log_2 P(1)^{-1}$$

or

 $H = P(0) \cdot \log_2 P(0)^{-1} + (1 - P(0)) \cdot \log_2 (1 - P(0))^{-1}$ Binary Entropy Function







- Entropy for two random variables *X* and *Y*.
- Realizations of *X* and *Y* are *x* and *y*.
- Distributions of X and Y are P(x) and P(y).

**Joint Entropy** H(X, Y): Given a joint distribution P(x, y),

$$H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 P(x,y)$$

**Condition Entropy** H(Y|X):

$$H(Y|X) = \sum_{x \in X} P(x)H(Y|X = x)$$

$$= -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x)P(y|x)\log_2 P(y|x)$$
$$= -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y)\log_2 P(y|x)$$



The Chain Rule (Relationship between Joint Entropy and Conditional Entropy)

$$H(X,Y) = H(X) + H(Y|X)$$
$$= H(Y) + H(X|Y)$$

Proof:

$$H(X,Y) = -\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 P(x,y)$$
  
=  $-\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 (P(y|x)P(x))$   
=  $-\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 P(x) - \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 P(y|x)$   
=  $-\sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log_2 P(x) - \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 P(y|x)$   
=  $H(X) + H(Y|X)$ 



- Two random variables *X* and *Y*.
- Realizations of *X* and *Y* are *x* and *y*.
- Distributions of *X* and *Y* are *P*(*x*) and *P*(*y*).
- Joint distribution of X and Y is P(x, y).
- Conditional distribution of X is P(x|y).

#### **Mutual Information between** *X* **and** *Y*:

$$I(X,Y) = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 \frac{P(x|y)}{P(x)}$$



#### **Mutual Information's Relationship with Entropy:** I(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)

Proof:

$$I(X,Y) = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 P(x,y) - \sum_{x \in X} P(x) \log_2 P(x) - \sum_{y \in Y} P(y) \log_2 P(y)$$
  
= 
$$H(X) + H(Y) - H(X,Y)$$

**Remark:** The above proof also shows the symmetry of mutual information as

I(X,Y) = I(Y,X)



#### **Mutual Information's Relationship with Entropy:** I(X, Y) = H(X) + H(Y) - H(X, Y)

This relationship can be visualized in the Venn diagram



Fig. A Venn diagram







#### **Corollary:**

$$I(X,Y) = H(X) - H(X|Y)$$
  
=  $H(Y) - H(Y|X)$ 

This can also be concluded using the Chain Rule.

**Bounds on** I(X, Y)

 $0 \le I(X, Y) \le \min\{H(X), H(Y)\}$ 



#### **Mutual Information of A Channel**



- Consider *X* is the transmitted signal, *Y* is the received signal.
- *Y* is a variant of *X* where the discrepancy is introduced by channel.

How much we don't know BEFORE the channel observations.

How much we still don't know AFTER the channel observations.

How much information is carried by the channel, and this is called the **Mutual Information** of the channel, denoted as I(X, Y).

H(X) - H(X|Y)

**Remark:** Mutual information I(X, Y) describes the amount of information one variable *X* contains about the other *Y*, or vice versa as in I(Y, X).



Example 1.3: Given the binary symmetric channel shown as



We know P(x = 0) = 0.3, P(x = 1) = 0.7, P(y = 1|x = 1) = 0.8, P(y = 1|x = 0) = 0.2, P(y = 0|x = 1) = 0.2 and P(y = 0|x = 0) = 0.8.

Please determine the mutual information of such a channel.

#### Solution:

- Entropy of the binary source is

$$H(x) = -P(x = 0) \log_2 P(x = 0) - P(x = 1) \log_2 P(x = 1)$$
  
=  $0.3 \cdot \log_2 \frac{1}{0.3} + 0.7 \cdot \log_2 \frac{1}{0.7}$   
= 0.881 bits



- With 
$$P(x)$$
 and  $P(y|x)$ , we know  
 $P(y = 1) = P(y = 1|x = 1)P(x = 1) + P(y = 1|x = 0)P(x = 0)$   
 $= 0.62$   
 $P(y = 0) = P(y = 0|x = 1)P(x = 1) + P(y = 0|x = 0)P(X = 0)$   
 $= 0.38$   
 $P(x = 0, y = 0) = P(y = 0|x = 0) \cdot P(x = 0) = 0.24$   
 $P(x = 0|y = 0) = \frac{P(x=0,y=0)}{P(y=0)} = 0.63$   
 $P(x = 1, y = 0) = P(y = 0|x = 1) \cdot P(x = 1) = 0.14$   
 $P(x = 1|y = 0) = \frac{P(x=1,y=0)}{P(y=0)} = 0.37$   
 $P(x = 0, y = 1) = P(y = 1|x = 0)P(x = 0) = 0.06$   
 $P(x = 0|y = 1) = \frac{P(x=0,y=1)}{P(y=1)} = 0.10$   
 $P(x = 1, y = 1) = P(y = 1|x = 1)P(x = 1) = 0.56$   
 $P(x = 1|y = 1) = \frac{P(x = 1, y = 1)}{P(y = 1)} = 0.90$ 



• Hence, the conditional entropy is:

$$H(X | Y) = P(x=0, y=0)\log_2 \frac{1}{P(x=0|y=0)} + P(x=1, y=0)\log_2 \frac{1}{P(x=1|y=0)}$$
$$+ P(x=0, y=1)\log_2 \frac{1}{P(x=0|y=1)} + P(x=1, y=1)\log_2 \frac{1}{P(x=1|y=1)}$$
$$= 0.24\log_2 \frac{1}{0.63} + 0.14\log_2 \frac{1}{0.37} + 0.06\log_2 \frac{1}{0.10} + 0.56\log_2 \frac{1}{0.90}$$
$$= 0.644 \text{bits/sym}$$

• The mutual information is:

I(X,Y) = H(X) - H(X | Y) = 0.237bits



**Relative Entropy:** Assume X and  $\hat{X}$  are two random variables with realizations of x and  $\hat{x}$ , respectively. They aim to describe the same event, with probability mass functions of P(x) and  $P(\hat{x})$ , respectively. Their relative entropy is

$$D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp } P(x)} P(x) \log_2 \frac{P(x)}{P(\hat{x})}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 \frac{P(x)}{P(\hat{x})}\right]$$

- It is often called the **Kullback-Leibler distance** between two distributions P(x) and  $P(\hat{x})$ .
- It is a measure of inefficiency by assuming a distribution  $P(\hat{x})$  when the true distribution is P(x). E.g., an event can be described by an average length of H(P(x)) bits. However, if we assume its distribution is  $P(\hat{x})$ , we will need an average length of  $H(P(x)) + D(P(x), P(\hat{x}))$  bits to describe it.
- It is not symmetric as  $D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) \neq D(P(\hat{x}), P(x))$ .



- Corollary 1: When  $P(x) = P(\hat{x}), D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) = 0.$
- Corollary 2:  $D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) \ge 0$ .

Proof:

$$-D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp } P(x)} P(x) \log_2 \frac{P(\hat{x})}{P(x)}$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{x \in \text{supp } P(x)} P(x) \left(\frac{P(\hat{x})}{P(x)} - 1\right) \log_2 e$$
  
$$\leq \left(\sum_{x \in \text{supp } P(x)} P(\hat{x}) - \sum_{x \in \text{supp } P(x)} P(x)\right) \log_2 e$$
  
$$\leq (1 - 1) \log_2 e$$
  
$$= 0$$



**Example 1.4:** The true distribution P(x) is given. If we assume a distribution of  $P(\hat{x}_i) = \frac{1}{k}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., k to describe the same event, then

$$D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) = \mathbb{E}\left[\log_2 \frac{P(x)}{P(\hat{x})}\right] = \mathbb{E}[\log_2 k P(x)]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}[\log_2 k] + \mathbb{E}[\log_2 P(x)]$$
$$= \log_2 k - H(P(x))$$
$$= H(P(\hat{x})) - H(P(x))$$



**Convex Function:** A function f(x) is convex over the interval (a, b) if  $\forall x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$  and  $0 \le \lambda \le 1$ ,

$$f(\lambda x_1 + (1-\lambda)x_2) \le \lambda f(x_1) + (1-\lambda)f(x_2).$$

It is strictly convex if the equality holds when  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda = 1$ .

- If f(x) is convex, -f(x) is concave.
- **Example 1.5:**  $\log_2 \frac{1}{x}$  is strictly convex over  $(0, \infty)$ .

Let  $x_1 = 2$ ,  $x_2 = 5$  and  $\lambda = 0.5$ ,

$$\frac{1}{0.5 \times 2 + 0.5 \times 5} = -1.81$$
$$0.5 \times \log_2 \frac{1}{2} + 0.5 \times \log_2 \frac{1}{5} = -1.66$$

When  $\lambda = 0$  or  $\lambda = 1$ , the equality holds.

Note that  $\log_2 x$  is concave.



Jensen's Inequality: If function f(x) is convex, then

 $f(\mathbb{E}[x]) \le \mathbb{E}[f(x)].$ 

Proof: With two mass points  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and distributions of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ , the convexity implies

$$f(p_1x_1 + p_2x_2) \le p_1f(x_1) + p_2f(x_2).$$

Assume this is also true for k - 1 mass points that

$$f(p_1x_1 + \dots + p_{k-1}x_{k-1}) \le p_1f(x_1) + \dots + p_{k-1}f(x_{k-1}).$$

Therefore, for *k* mass points, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i f(x_i) \ge p_k f(x_k) + f(p_1 x_1 + \dots + p_{k-1} x_{k-1}).$$



Let 
$$p'_i = \frac{p_i}{1-p_k}$$
, for  $i = 1, 2, ..., k-1$ .  

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i f(x_i) \ge p_k f(x_k) + (1-p_k) f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i' x_i\right)$$

$$\ge f\left(p_k x_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (1-p_k) p_i' x_i\right)$$

$$= f\left(p_k x_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} p_i x_i\right)$$

$$= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i x_i\right)$$



- Jensen's inequality can be applied to prove some properties on entropy.
- **Consequence 1:**  $D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) \ge 0$

Proof:

$$-D(P(x), P(\hat{x})) = \sum_{x \in \text{supp } P(x)} P(x) \log_2 \frac{P(\hat{x})}{P(x)}$$
$$\leq \log_2 \sum_{x \in \text{supp } P(x)} P(\hat{x})$$
$$\leq \log_2 1 = 0$$

- **Consequence 2:**  $I(X, Y) \ge 0$ 

Proof:

$$I(X,Y) = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in Y} P(x,y) \log_2 \frac{P(x,y)}{P(x)P(y)}$$
$$= D(P(x,y), P(x)P(y)) \ge 0$$

I(X, Y) = 0 only if P(x, y) = P(x)P(y), i.e., X and Y are independent.



**Fano's Inequality:** Let *X* and *Y* are two random variables with realizations in  $\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_k\}$ . Let  $P_e = \Pr[X \neq Y]$ , then

$$H(X|Y) \le H(P_e) + P_e \log_2(k-1).$$

Proof: Let us create a binary variable *Z* such that

Z = 0, if X = Y. $Z = 1, \text{ if } X \neq Y.$ 

Hence,  $H(Z) = H(P_e)$ . H(XZ|Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Z|XY) = H(X|Y)

Note, with the knowledge of X and Y, Z is deterministic.



H(XZ|Y) = H(Z|Y) + H(X|YZ) $\leq H(Z) + H(X|YZ)$ 

Note,

H(X|Y, Z = 0) = 0,  $H(X|Y, Z = 1) = \log_2(k - 1),$  $H(X|Y) \le H(Z) + P_e \log_2(k - 1).$ 

- *H*(*X*|*Y*) implies with the knowledge of *Y*, how much uncertainty is left about *X*(*X* and *Y* are related);
- $H(P_e)$ : numbers of bits to describe X whenever X = Y;
- $\log_2(k-1)$ : number of bits to describe X whenever  $X \neq Y$ .



Data Processing Inequality: Given a concatenated data processing system as



We have

$$I(X,Z) \leq \begin{cases} I(X,Y) \\ I(Y,Z) \end{cases}$$



Proof:

$$(X,Z) = H(X) - H(X|Z)$$

$$\leq H(X) - H(X|ZY)$$

$$= H(X) - H(X|Y)$$

$$= I(X,Y)$$

$$I(X,Z) = H(Z) - H(Z|X)$$
  

$$\leq H(Z) - H(Z|XY)$$
  

$$= H(Z) - H(Z|Y)$$
  

$$= I(Y,Z)$$

**Remark:** Information cannot be increased by data processing.

Ι



References:

- [1] Elements of Information Theory, by T. Cover and J. Thomas.
- [2] Scriptum for the lectures, Applied Information Theory, by M. Bossert.